Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,107	Jones et al.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	2686
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Rafael Perez-Gutierrez.	(3)	
(2) <u>David G. Posz</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 28 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None .		
Claims discussed:	·	
6	·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
	·	
Rafael Big- Sutien		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Posz to request authorization for performing an Examiner's amendment that will correct minor informalities in the specification and on claim 6. After discussing the informalities with Mr. Posz, the Examiner was authorized to correct them via the Examiner's amendment..